

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MARCH 30, 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S071278 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Patrick P. Felix, Defendant and Appellant.

We reverse the judgment of the Court of Appeal and remand for proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Chin, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Mosk, J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Brown, J.

6th Dist. Xiao Han, Petitioner

H021244 v.

S087121 Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

Pending final determination of the petition for review filed herein, execution of the sentence imposed on January 28, 2000, in Santa Clara County Superior Court case number C9915653, entitled People v. Han, is hereby stayed only as it applies to incarceration in county jail.

S016883 People, Respondent

v.

Jarvis Masters, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including May 30, 2000.

S040575 People, Respondent

v.

Delaney Geral Marks, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including April 24, 2000.

S080078 People, Respondent

v.

Robert Tindall, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's supplemental brief on the merits is extended to and including April 24, 2000.

A supplemental respondent's brief may be filed within thirty days of the filing of appellant's supplemental brief.

Appellant may file a single reply brief within twenty days after the filing of the respondent's supplemental brief.

S082922 In re James C. Broome

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is hereby ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the Attorney General's informal response is extended to and including April 16, 2000.

S017869 People, Respondent

v.

Kristin William Hughes, Appellant

Appellant's application for relief from default to file appellant's reply brief is granted.

Appellant's application for leave to file appellant's reply brief in excess of 140 pages is granted.

S077350 Smith A. Ketchum III, Appellant

v.

John M. Moses, Respondent

The request of the City of San Diego to join in the amicus curiae brief in support of appellant on February 14, 2000, by the California Highway Patrol is hereby GRANTED.

S082972 People, Respondent

v.

Paul Gilbert Simonton, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, David M. McKinney is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

S087085 Thaer Yaqub, Petitioner

v.

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.

S087112 Duke Ward, Petitioner

v.

Sutter County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District.

**SUPREME COURT CALENDAR
SAN FRANCISCO SESSION
MAY 2 and 3, 2000**

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom at 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 2 and 3, 2000.

TUESDAY, MAY 2, 2000 – 9:00 A.M.

S069237	People v. Castenada
S067271	Snukal v. Flightways Manufacturing
S067104	People v. Mendoza

1:30 P.M.

S055790	People v. Rios
S070959	People v. Cox
S074270	In re Jorge M.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 3, 2000 - 9:00 A.M.

S085212	Obrien v. Jones, as Secretary of State
S077706	People v. Hill
S078689	People v. Hanson

1:30 P.M.

S078243	People v. Miranda
S075720	People v. Camacho

Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this Court, counsel must comply with Rule 10(d), California Rules of Court.